

---

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening. This is the NCUC ExCom call on Tuesday, 29 November 2018, at 13:00 UTC.

On the call today, we have Bruna Martins dos Santos, Ines Hfaiedh, Michael Karanicolas, and from staff we have myself, Maryam Bakoshi.

I'd like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and over to you, Bruna.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: Thank you very much, Maryam, for starting the call. Thanks, guys, for joining. I guess this is our first meeting with the [inaudible]. Not all of them are here now, but then I hope the others will be joining soon.

The idea for this meeting and our whole agenda is the following. I wanted to take part in this session with you guys on our meetings and maybe to have us set [like three] dates for our calls just so everybody is on the same note and everybody attends all of them.

Other discussion that I plan to have is to talk a little bit about our representatives at the different [inaudible] committees. Also about the NCUC website and tech team, ABRs, CROP call for the Kobe meeting, and our plans for ICANN 64.

If you guys have any other suggestions or any AOB that I should be adding to the agenda, please let me know in the chat or just ask for the floor.

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

So moving on, the first agenda item which is the EC meetings and calendar for 2019, I had a meeting with Maryam. I guess it was last week, Maryam, right? We were starting to – Maryam was there mostly to sort some of my doubts around NCUC and a lot of the things we should be doing for the next year. And we set some meetings for me and Maryam during the next year on [six] dates.

My first question for you is whether or not we should be fixing maybe just like today the last Thursday of every month to have an EC call just so we can set the calendar and everybody will have it in their agendas and everybody will know a month before if they will or will not be able to attend the meeting. I guess this [inaudible] with regards to attendance and everything because I would really like for everybody to be on the calls, and it's also our duty as EC members to be and participate and answer to the threads and stuff around it. So I wanted to know what you guys think about the calendar. Go ahead, Ines.

INES HFAIEDH:

Thank you, Bruna. I think it's a great idea actually to have. For example, we can say the first, I don't know, Monday or Tuesday or anything of the month we can have or the last one actually so that we know beforehand that you have this meeting. The only thing that I would suggest maybe to put a Doodle just for the days or anything to choose the days because this year the only day that doesn't usually work for me is Thursday. Today, I am on a medical leave. That's why I could attend the call. But if we can agree on one day, I think it's a great idea. Thank you.

---

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: Thank you, Ines. If the Thursday doesn't work, maybe we can work on maybe having the last Friday of every month. But I'll give the floor to Michael. Go ahead.

MICHAEL KARANICOLAS: I also think it's a good idea, but rather than doing a Doodle [poll] it might be good to just have a time that we agree to meet like the last Friday of the month or some time like that particularly because the time zones are going to be consistent so we're presumably always going to be meeting pretty early in the morning Western time and the evening Australia time. So, yeah, I think maybe we should just agree on a time that we'll meet every month and then keep that static. I know that works pretty well for working groups because then you know that the call is coming. Maybe you won't always be able to make it, but you can plan your schedule around the call rather than everybody trying to find a time that works with everybody's ongoing schedule.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: Thanks very much, Michael. Yeah, I guess this will be our plan for now. So if we select maybe the last Friday of every month around this time or maybe later, this would work for all of us. But then I'll need to hear back from David because he's the only one who is farther from us. So I guess his time zone would be a little bit of a problem, but I guess he can adjust to that. So I guess we are set on the last Friday of every month, initially at this time 13:00 UTC. But then we'll check with David if he's okay with that.

So I think that by setting this calendar for us, everybody will know ahead of time whenever the meetings are supposed to happen and if anything happens we can do the apology thing. You can just send in your apologies. Or we can even try to change around in a specific month. If two or three team members cannot join the meeting, then we can change it for a better day in that specific month. So thanks for that.

I know this is not necessarily the case of the two of you, but the idea for this agenda item as well was to have a quick check with all of you asking for a little more help. Because I know this past month [we] have been a little hectic. We had ICANN. We had the IGF. We had a new leadership team. We had a lot of things around us, but then I would really appreciate if you guys could help me a little bit more by answering the threads or even volunteering for setting up the agendas for EC meetings or [inaudible] meetings as well. I know that we are fine tuning the way we work, but I do believe that we have been working really well for the past year and then we can only improve from here.

I don't want to keep spamming all of you with a lot of e-mails. I don't want to call it a wake up call because you're not necessarily the ones who need a wake up call, but then this is just a call for help. Just like, please, whenever you have the time, do help me [write]. Like help me with agendas, help me with the deliberations and stuff. That will be the first agenda item. But, Michael, you have the floor.

MICHAEL KARANICOLAS: I certainly understand where you're coming from. I think that there's two questions there. One is responsiveness on the list, which I think that

---

---

we can all do better at. I've been trying. When the last call came out, I tried to go out and say publicly on the list, "Come on, guys," just because I do think that responsiveness needs to be prodded out of people and I think it's good to do that. If you have responses that are going out and people aren't responding, I think it is good to go back to the list and say, "Come on, guys. We really need an answer on this." Because it is a public list and that can maybe [jab] some people into responding.

On the second [thing you said], do you think in terms of having people make more meaningful contributions, do you think it might be helpful to have a fixed rotation? Because that will maybe draft people into being more active. Where you can actually say something like, "All right, we'll each do" – the agenda is a weird one for the different calls because that's a weird one to rotate around. But if there are different areas that you can actually say, "All right, we're going to do it this way. There's going to be six outreach meetings in the three ICANN events in 2019."

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Hi, Michael. We seem to have lost the audio.

MICHAEL KARANICOLAS: Uh oh.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Michael, [inaudible]. We seem to have lost you on audio.

---

MICHAEL KARANICOLAS: Can you still hear me?

[BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS]: I can, but I don't know if anybody else can.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yeah, [inaudible] as well. Maryam, I guess you are the [only one with the audio].

MICHAEL KARANICOLAS: Sorry. I think that I've got an echo somewhere. But basically what I was going to say is that might be more effective to do in an organized rotation. So, for example, there's going to be presumably six outreach sessions at the three ICANN events in 2019 and there are six EC members. So you can say everybody has a responsibility to do one of them or to be the main point person for one of them. Something like that might be an effective way to divide stuff up. Thanks.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: I'm trying to get Bruna back into the meeting. Let's see what happened. Sorry about this.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: Hi, everyone. I'm back I guess.

MARYAM BAKOSHI: Thank you, Bruna.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: I don't know what happened. My connection might have dropped. So, yeah, I'm back. I guess Michael was saying something. I literally dropped in the middle of Michael's [inaudible].

MICHAEL KARANICOLAS: Hi. I was just saying that it might be more effective to have a rotation of people that are doing the tasks rather than trying to draft people in.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: Thanks [inaudible]. Go ahead.

MICHAEL KARANICOLAS: I was done. I think I've said it three times now because the first time my microphone wasn't working. But just the example that I gave was that there are three ICANN meetings in 2019 with presumably six outreach sessions. So there's six of us, so we could all – you can say [each of us have one] of them and we'll have an organized rotation so that everybody has a role as opposed to just an ad hoc "help me with [inaudible]." That might work better.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: Thanks, Michael. [inaudible] already kind of happens because we think that presumably the two from the [inaudible] meetings, they will be the ones who will help with that. But I wasn't able to do very much with the whole delegating the whole [tasks] around it also because the

---

---

outreaching and [inaudible] was pretty much taken care of with Olivier and Tatiana. It's just like making it clear for everybody. I'll try to make it clear from now on that we would need a little more commitment from you guys just so I don't go crazy. It's literally that. I just need a little help on this and that just so we can [think this through]. And I also would like to do this together with all of you. I don't want to [be taking] decisions and then send back to you those decisions that I took by myself while I was supposed to do [this] [inaudible] EC.

We were talking about – it was just like a pep talk about the EC engagement with the [inaudible]. It was just like a little speech about how I needed and wanted you guys to be more involved with the tasks and so far it has just been a little amount of tasks but then I will be – my promise to you guys is to do better in delegating them and communicating them to you.

Then I don't also want to become this spammer of the EC and send 300 e-mails per week because I know this was a problem we had in the past. So I just want to learn from our past experiences and have a good dynamic with you guys. That was [just it].

Does anybody have anything else to say on this [inaudible]? Seeing none and hearing none, so going on to the NCUC representatives at the [inaudible] different committees. We just sent a call for the EC representatives. I don't think we had any [answers] so far. I don't know if Maryam had any [inaudible] specialty [inaudible] sent [inaudible]. But then I haven't received anything. So we might need to do a little outreach on this. So if you guys know anyone or think of anyone who

---

would and could be willing to work with the EC [inaudible], this would be good [inaudible].

On that line, I will send a reminder. And the deadline, let me just check. I guess the deadline is the 3<sup>rd</sup> of December, so it's next week. Yeah, it's pretty soon. Then we can extend the deadline a little bit if we don't have any [inaudible] from anyone. I know that [Robin] is probably applying. She hasn't yet, but she will. I don't know if [Monica] will reapply. I will send a reminder later on today.

Also, just if we consider anyone else, it should be [they have been] a good volunteer [inaudible] so far and could be helping us with EC in these [new calls]. It would be good for us to do a little outreach. I don't know. [I cannot think of any names right now.] [inaudible]

Also, we already have the call for the PC ready. Our two PC representatives are [inaudible]. I have sent an e-mail to the both of them. [inaudible] has an interest in reapplying. [inaudible] told me he would [inaudible] with community members, but then I'm not sure.

Hello, David.

And the last [inaudible] this year would be the finance committee representatives, but I am not sure about the deadline because I think that Thato was nominated in the middle of the year, like maybe around May or June. I need to check the timeline on this. So, again, this is just [a call that if] you guys know or can think of anyone that would like to be part of these committees, please let us know.

---

I know that we would need – I mean, I would love for us to have someone slightly more [inaudible] because for two nominations in a row [inaudible] wasn't much engaged with EC and I don't know [inaudible] has the time to be very engaged with EC. So it would be good if we try to do a little better in our next appointment to the [PC].

Does anybody have anything to say about this? I'll open the floor right now.

LOUISE MARIE HUREL:

Hi, can you hear me? Okay. No, I just wanted to ask something or maybe just comment on something with regards to our planning for Kobe which is if we're having a new call for PC, I was wondering if it would be good in our next meeting over in Kobe not only to invite the representatives of the PC either the ones that stayed or the new representatives and that could be for the FC as well and NCSG for our NCUC meeting and to get updates from them. But what I was going to say is to get if there's a change on people, just have both of them in the room would be really nice because if new people get on board now, we'll probably have just a few months to have the experience of being there. I don't know if it would be interesting, but just raising this point. Just food for thought if it might be interesting for us in our next meeting because I do think that was very fruitful to have [inaudible] there even though some of them weren't expecting to report or say something in the meeting, our EC meeting which I'm referring to.

---

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: [inaudible] If anyone else wishes to speak, please [raise your hand and I'll give the floor up]. I do agree that inviting our PC and [EC] representatives [inaudible] NCSG and NCUC representatives to our meetings will be great. And this will at least mean more participation from them in the [inaudible]. It's a good way to have a little [inaudible] on what [inaudible]. This was a plan for [inaudible] we have [inaudible] constituency day which was way too short. But then for the Kobe meeting Maryam and I have already discussed the idea of having a bigger constituency day [with] a three-hour meeting [inaudible]. This is something that we will talk about later on today, the idea of inviting our representatives back to meetings, it would be good. It's definitely a good idea.

If anyone else doesn't have anything to say about this agenda item, I think we can move on to the next agenda item. The next item is NCUC [inaudible]. I finally reached out to the [inaudible] as well mentioning that he wanted someone else to be [inaudible] under the NCUC [domain]. I told him I would do it, so he [inaudible] the domain. It's registered under my name right now. And we would also need someone else to help with the [inaudible]. I know that [inaudible] has been doing a lot of the jobs, and I have to double check this with him but the idea would be to have another volunteer [inaudible] to help him with it [inaudible].

I will ask him about it, and then I will send you guys an update on this. But this is just to say that from now on to see if anybody else wants it and [to say] the domain is under my name. So that's it.

---

The next agenda item will be the ABRs, the additional budget requests. We are supposed to send our requests [inaudible]. Maryam, I am not recalling the deadline. Do you know the deadline? I mean, [inaudible]. Yeah, so by the 15<sup>th</sup> of January we are supposed to submit some additional budget requests to ICANN. This is normally the amount of costs we have for outreaches and then for printing materials. Last year [this happened a lot with our] [inaudible] support. So this is something that will need a little bit of work from us [right now].

I did not have the time yesterday. I planned to have a chat with [Stephanie] and [inaudible] to see what other ABRs we can collectively submit. The deadline is the 15<sup>th</sup> of January. I don't know if you guys remember, but last year we had [inaudible] at the [eve] of the deadline and we were all at the intersessional. So I really don't want this to happen again. We will have the intersessional this year [inaudible].

We could be thinking of possible ABRs to submit this year. Last year we submitted the policy [inaudible] and then we submitted other ones, [they approved them], but another one for [inaudible] and printed materials. And I am forgetting about the third one. But, Michael, you have the floor.

MICHAEL KARANICOLAS: Thank you. If I'm remembering correctly, either last year or the year before NCUC came in for some criticism by one of our membership by putting in a whole bunch of I think they were not realistic kind of silly requests. We had develop an ICANN board game and a whole bunch of stuff like that, and that member said something along the lines of – I'm

---

working from memory – but this makes us look not professional and doesn't make us look good if we put in unrealistic stuff.

Obviously, it got heated because it was in that context on the list and everything gets heated. But I think that there may have been something to that objection. And I wonder if there's on the one hand we want to be inclusive and encourage people to submit ideas, but I wonder if there's something that we can do to be very inclusive but to also do some kind of a vetting process where stuff that doesn't quite make a cut shouldn't necessarily go in.

So I don't know if that's within our mandate or if we feel like if the members want to submit something, we have to [conduct] it through. But I wonder if there's a way that we can approach the process to have some kind of quality control as part of our role with it. Thanks.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: I do agree with [inaudible]. What I was planning to do [inaudible] idea of having the decision [inaudible] was I was planning on sending an e-mail to the list about the ABRs and the deadlines [inaudible]. But then my idea would be to set to the membership at least a deadline that would end a month earlier. So we could send an e-mail to the [inaudible] asking about the interest in ABRs [inaudible], but the deadline for them would be the 25<sup>th</sup> of December and the EC would have a month to work on them and decide on them because I do agree that. The ones we submitted [inaudible] some of them.

Saying that we would [inaudible] I don't know if it would make much sense but then if we send this call for [inaudible] for ideas and then put

up a deadline for the [inaudible] at Christmas. And then we would have a month to work on. We can say on the call that the EC would be [assessing] all the suggestions and submitting the ones that would fit [inaudible] NCUC values and NCUC mission for the [inaudible]. I don't know. What do you guys think? [inaudible]?

Yeah, the vetting thing would require a little criteria from us. If anyone [of us] would like to develop this criteria, you're more than welcome to. But then just try to [inaudible] that the ABR should be [inaudible] NCUC values and should help us [inaudible] the next year ahead. [If we] just mention help with improving NCUC engagement with the policy development processes. I don't know. These are possible ideas.

[inaudible] do we agree on setting maybe an earlier deadline for the membership suggestions and then having a few days for us to work on them?

LOUISE MARIE HUREL:

Hi, Bruna. I was just wondering if last year it is normally open for members to submit and comment and do the proposals? Or was it some kind of selective [move] last year from Renata to contact specific people? Because at least I only have the experience from Renata, so I'm not aware if in the previous ones it was normally open or if last year was open to the membership. So I'm just wondering because I'm a bit confused with that regard.

---

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: [I'm not sure] about this as well. Maybe Michael and Ines can help us with that. What I recall from last year is that we sent an e-mail [making an open call to do this and to inform members] [inaudible]. And Renata was literally taking all the ideas and [turning them into] ABRs. Then the ones who have been here before us can help us better [decide this one].

DAVID CAKE: [inaudible]

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: If you are speaking, we are not hearing you well.

DAVID CAKE: Hello. Can you hear me better now? Can you hear me now? I think the ABR process is relatively new and sort of evolving. I don't think we need to be bound by what's been done in past years. And I think it's really helpful to have ideas from the membership, but it really is up to the EC. So I think it would be good to take in ideas from the membership. I don't think we need to engage in any more detailed process with the membership. I mean, we have been elected. We have judgment about what is going to be practical and what will be in the interest of the constituency and so on. So I sort of say let's talk to the membership, but let's have a relatively lightweight process.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: So what I'm thinking for this is that maybe – yeah, I agree with what [inaudible] said. We can [inaudible] develop a new mechanism of our

---

own and then open this conversation to the whole membership. And then what we can do as well to improve our [inaudible] is that [inaudible] ABRs we can send an e-mail to the list saying, “Hi, guys. Thanks for your input. This is the list of ABRs we’re submitting this year. We look forward to [cooperating] with you in the [next] year.” Something like that. I don’t know. So we’re opening a call for topics and suggestions [inaudible]. Great.

Okay, so moving on. I will send you guys an e-mail to the list as well with the ABRs we had approved on last year just so we can check if we want to [repeat] them or improve them a little bit or see how can we work [inaudible]. Great.

I see Louise is writing something up in the chat, but then we have 20 more minutes for the call. I will take whatever – I will copy what she is writing into the chat into our [group session] [inaudible].

So moving on to the next agenda item, the next one is the CROP call for the Kobe meeting. If you guys remember [inaudible] around the CROP call for the Barcelona meeting, we did not open the call at the time. We decided that we would like to wait a little bit. And then now for the Kobe meeting, we have the option of opening a new call. But if I’m not mistaken, we can open [two slots]. I would need to check, but [inaudible] if Maryam knows. From the discussion in Barcelona, I got that we would have the chance to open two slots for the CROP call.

The timeline for the call would be two weeks. I don’t think the two weeks before the meeting, but I think it would be great for us to open this CROP call as soon as possible so if the person needs a visa, he or she

would have the time to go through the visa process. Just remembering that this CROP call has to be for someone from the region, so now we can only accept applications and select the members from the APAC region. So this would also be a call that would need a little bit of outreach from us especially, David, given that you're the representative for the region.

So I don't know. Do you guys have anything to mention, to say about this CROP call? Should we try to open maybe one or two slots or just one? As Maryam is saying in the chat, the earlier the better. I would really wish for us to send this CROP call as soon as possible as well just so we have [a good] amount of time to decide on that.

DAVID CAKE:

Actually, for similar reasons being the Asia Pacific person, I'm actually going to be traveling on NPOC's CROP for Kobe, and that's already arranged. So I would be happy to open a call. If it has to be someone from Asia Pacific, it won't be me. I don't know who would be – I mean, there are people like [inaudible]. There are plenty of people who would be great candidates. I would certainly be in favor of opening a CROP call pretty quickly. My understanding is we can use CROP for other meetings, but not until after we've sent someone to an ICANN meeting. The rules seem a bit odd to me, but that's my understanding of how they work. So I think it would definitely be a good idea to open a CROP call as soon as we can.

---

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: Yeah we should [send] the call as soon as possible. And, yeah, as a matter of fact we have [two] slots and we can only use the other CROP if we use the first one toward an ICANN meeting. I am not sure if there is anything binding to us for using two CROP slots right now or if we could use the second one for Marrakech and then use the third one for another related ICANN meeting. But then great [to see] that everybody has agreed. If anyone can or would like to help me drafting this call, I would very much appreciate your help and for outreach as well. So, yep, that's [inaudible]. Great. Then we have agreed to open the CROP call soon.

So moving on to ICANN 64 – I'm just reading the chat. [Let's put that on for] this action item. Maryam, I think as I told you, we can send this CROP call by the first week of December. So that's December 7 as the deadline. Are you okay with that? I will also help you draft this also. And we don't need to reinvent the call. We just need to take a little bit from – yeah, December 7. We can just [inaudible] some stuff that we have already [inaudible].

Another idea, what I'm trying to come up with throughout this [term] is as I discussed with Maryam as well, to try to come up with some models and templates of calls for us to send throughout the year. So we have already done the little templates for the [inaudible]. And then it's good if we develop a new one, and then it will make our lives easier. And the next representative's life easier as well.

So great. The deadline for the CROP is December 7. No, Maryam, I guess the deadline will be for us to send the call on the [7<sup>th</sup>]. I don't know if I understood it correctly, but we can [do that].

Moving on to the ICANN 64, we Maryam has already [inaudible] the deadline for asking for meetings so far. We are starting the process of planning ICANN 64. I guess [inaudible] a planning call at the beginning of January with the community leaders. I plan to report back to you guys on this. But then what we [require] so far are two NCUC meetings. One [is a team] meeting and one [is a] constituency day meeting.

The [inaudible] meeting would have an hour and a half [inaudible], and the constituency day meeting would ideally have three hours. We asked for the bigger slot this time because in Barcelona it was a little too short, and we were all trying to fit people into the discussion. And throughout the meeting, I was literally praying that anybody else wouldn't like to speak just so we could end in time.

But then apparently [so far] the agenda is already packed [because of other] ICANN meetings and other community forums. So [inaudible] supposed to be crazy as well. But then we have apparently one slot through lunch. So what I have to talk with you guys about this and consider is whether or not we would like to have this meeting during lunch. And if we do, we would have to pay for the lunch. A possible option would be for the box lunch as they tend to be cheaper, but then [inaudible] the money that we would need to use. So I wanted to hear back from you whether or not we should use this or should we divide this meeting in two days? Maybe have one hour and a half constituency day on Tuesday and then try to have another in-reach meeting in another day.

This is something I needed to talk with you guys about, and I'll open the floor. Okay, Ines, [inaudible] we would either have a three-hour

---

---

constituency day that would go during lunch as well. The slots available are only through lunch. Or we would [inaudible] to have an hour and a half constituency day on Tuesday and have another in-reach member NCUC [inaudible] meeting on a different day. It's either a three-hour meeting or [inaudible] splitting those into two. [inaudible] say anything about it or [either like] help us think, I would really appreciate it.

Yeah, so if we decide on the three-hour meeting, I just wanted to make sure that and to see if you [use some of its own] funding to pay for the lunch. And then we have to do a little [inaudible] on how many people would attend this meeting just so we can check how much money we would need to use on this. Yeah, and [inaudible] also [inaudible] about the use of our funds throughout the [meeting] as well.

And I have already started the conversation with At-Large for maintaining the outreach as well. So Olivier, I think he's on board. But then it's too early to think about this, but then we already [inaudible] the meeting. So if we are to maintain the two meetings, it would be great as well.

Great. So from what I'm reading [inaudible] we are maintaining the three-hour constituency day during lunch and we [would use] our funds for this lunch and then also maintaining the outreach with At-Large. The [inaudible] outreach in Barcelona [it was] one of the best [I have seen so far]. I mean, the one on Copenhagen was a good one; the one in Barcelona was [inaudible]. But I know that in Panama [inaudible] it wasn't as much of a good outreach. [inaudible] a bunch of people participating [inaudible]. This would require from us a little more

engagement with outreach as well because I know [inaudible] At-Large has been doing the greater part of outreach [inaudible].

Which brings me to the need of us to [inaudible] the two EC funded members for Kobe. I would really like you guys to help me develop an outreach plan, like an [inaudible] plan just so we try to at least cover all the meetings and try to do a better job on reporting back to the community. Because I know in Barcelona we were pretty much everywhere, but I don't think we did a good job on reporting back. And I'm including myself on this.

So if we develop a good enough plan ahead of time, we could have, like maybe there's a chance for us to send by the end of the day we can send a short report on the meetings we attend and [inaudible] the good discussions. Yeah, just send [inaudible] agenda and do a little better description [from] discussions so our members there [inaudible] they are informed and also that NCUC doesn't look as if we weren't at the meeting. So this would be a good display of [thinking] ahead.

Also, if anyone has any suggestions for cross-community sessions and high-interest topics as well, [inaudible] send me that. There will be a space for discussing this with the community leaders in the upcoming ICANN 64 [inaudible] call. And this is something that can be [inaudible]. I know that [inaudible] and myself, we have been considering the idea of suggesting, also we have a little [support from the community] for suggesting [a CCWG] cross-community session. But then I also need to check with Stephanie and others [inaudible] of this with the community. I like the suggestion on the chat that we do the shared calendars and we also have [inaudible]. So I'm just writing [those in notes] [inaudible].

---

---

Great. So does anybody else have anything else to say? Is there any AOB or any other topics that we didn't discuss so far that you would like to bring in this last four minutes?

[Noting] that I haven't heard or read anything, just a quick note that on the situation around [Dina] [inaudible], I sent an e-mail as I said to [Joanne] and Stephanie notifying them about the situation. And then it's another thing that we should be really paying attention to from now on. As we suggested on our [inaudible] that developing better criteria for selecting and doing a little follow up with our [inaudible] from now on would be a way of avoiding this.

It wasn't much of a problem, but then I did not like or I didn't enjoy the fact that our funds were used to attend a meeting that was mostly directed at government and with no outreach plan and with no [inaudible] mission for [the] member to do. And then also the fact that she wrote an e-mail to the [whole NCUC] saying that she was not going to report to [NCUC in this] but then [inaudible].

So I just want to [inaudible] [Joanne] and Stephanie on that. I don't think that there is any need [to further talk to Dina] and discuss it. But then I wanted us to be a little more attentive to whoever we select to use our funds in the near future because we [are] already supposed to – we're also supposed to open a call, like I think so, for the Kobe meeting. So we will have another evaluation coming up.

If anybody else doesn't have anything to speak up to say, I guess that this call can be finished. I'll also ask you guys to check the action items

---

[inaudible] to see if there is anything else that's [inaudible] in there and [inaudible].

DAVID CAKE:

I just wanted to, while I remember, also in Kobe before the meeting I'll be doing the ICANN leadership [call]. So I just thought I'd let people know.

BRUNA MARTINS DOS SANTOS: [inaudible] as well. Yeah, great. You guys are really going to enjoy this program. Me and Michael [inaudible]. It was a really, really good opportunity and very, very enjoyable. I know that Ines had done it in Copenhagen, right? So, yeah, great. Very great. Yep, awesome. So I guess we can adjourn this call and, Maryam, you can stop the recording. Thank you, guys, for joining and for being super helpful [at this time].

MARYAM BAKOSHI:

Thank you, everyone, for attending the call. Have a good morning, good afternoon, good evening, wherever you may be. Goodbye.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**